

## TESSELLATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH NUMBER SYSTEMS

Agnes I. Benedek and Rafael Panzone

INMABB (UNS - CONICET)

### Resumen

En este trabajo probamos que son iguales la dimensión Hausdorff y la dimensión B ('box-counting', capacidad, entropía) del contorno  $E$  de una tesela del plano proveniente de un sistema numérico. Esta dimensión  $s$  es mayor o igual a uno y menor que dos. La medida de Hausdorff de  $E$  es positiva en su dimensión.

*Palabras clave:* Sistema numérico, Teselado.

### Abstract

We prove that the Hausdorff dimension and box-counting dimension of the boundary  $E$  of a tile corresponding to a number system are equal, less than 2 and not less than 1. The Hausdorff measure of  $E$  is positive in its dimension.

*Key words:* Number systems, Tessellation.

**1. An auxiliary result on the Hausdorff dimension.** The next Theorem 1 can be proved repeating almost *verbatim* the proof given in Theorem 3.1 of Falconer's book [3] only replacing the functions  $g_i^{-1}$  that appear there by new functions  $f_i$ . For the sake of completeness we prove Theorem 1 in §3.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $E$  be a non trivial compact set and  $a$  and  $r_0$  two positive numbers,  $r_0 < 1$ , such that for any set  $U \subset E$ ,  $0 < |U| := \text{diam}(U) < r_0$ , there exist  $V = V(U) \subset E$

and a map  $f$  from  $V$  onto  $U$  that verifies

$$v, w \in V \Rightarrow |f(v) - f(w)| \leq \frac{|U|}{a} |v - w|. \quad (1)$$

Then, the box dimension  $\dim_B(E)$  exists and if  $s = \dim_H(E)$  then i) and ii) hold:

i)  $H^s(E) \geq a^s$

ii)  $s = \dim_B(E)$ .

**2. A basic result on the boundary of a number tile.** In this section we assume the next hypothesis:

**H)** Let  $b \in \mathbb{C} (\cong \mathbb{R}^N, N = 2)$ ,  $|b| > 1$ , be the base of the number system  $\{b, D\}$  with  $D = \{0, a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  its set of ciphers (digits) such that there exists a point lattice  $L = \{m + ng : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  veri-

*Trabajo presentado con motivo de la entrega del premio "Orlando Villamayor" en Matemática, a la Dra. Agnes I. Benedek, el 10 de noviembre de 2000.*

fying  $bL \cup D \subset L$  with  $D$  a complete set of residues modulo  $b$ , (i.e., each point  $y$  of  $L$  can be written in a unique way as  $y = bx + c$ ,  $x \in L$ ,  $c \in D$ ).

**Definitions.**  $F := \{z : z = 0, c_1 c_2 \dots; c_i \in D\}$  and  $F_t := t + F$ .

**H')**  $\{F_t : t \in L\}$  is a tessellation of  $R^N$ , (i.e.,  $R^N = \cup F_t$ ,  $m(F_u \cap F_v) = 0$  for  $u \neq v$ ).

**Theorem 2.** If **H**) and **H')** hold then

a) the box dimension of  $E := \partial F$  exists,

b)  $s = \dim_H E = \dim_B E$ ,

c)  $H^s(E) > 0$ .

d)  $1 \leq s < N$ .

**Proof.** a), b) and c) will follow from Theorem 1. In fact, suppose  $U \subset E$  has diameter  $|U| < r_0 := \rho/|b|$  where  $2\rho := \min\{|\lambda|; 0 \neq \lambda \in L\}$ . Let  $k$  be the positive integer verifying  $\rho/|b| \leq |U| |b|^k < \rho$ .

We write  $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^M U_j$ , where each  $U_j$

is of the form  $U \cap (F_{0.b_1 \dots b_k} \cap F_{\gamma.c_1 \dots c_k})$ ,  $\gamma \in S^0$ :  $= \{t \in L : t \neq 0, F \cap F_t \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $b_i, c_i \in D$  depend on  $j$ . Let  $g_j(z) := b^k z + t_j$  where each

$t_j = -\sum_{i=1}^k b_i b^{k-i}$  is a point of the lattice  $L$  (this

because of  $bL \cup D \subset L$ ). Each similitude  $g_j$  maps  $U_j$  into  $E$  and  $|g_j(z) - g_h(z)|$  is either identically 0 or  $\geq 2\rho$ . Therefore, if the maps are not identical then

$$\text{dist}(g_j(U), g_h(U)) \geq 2\rho - |U| \cdot |b|^k > \rho. \quad (2)$$

Let  $V = \bigcup_{j=1}^M V_j$  where  $V_j := g_j(U_j)$  and

define  $f : V \rightarrow U$  by  $f(z) = g_j^{-1}(z)$  if  $z \in V_j$ . Observe that if  $V_j \cap V_h \neq \emptyset$  then, by (2),  $g_j$  and  $g_h$  must be identical. Therefore,  $f$  is well defined and onto  $U$ . We claim that if  $z, w \in V$  then

$$|f(z) - f(w)| \leq \frac{|U|}{a} |z - w|, \text{ where } a = \rho/|b|. \quad (3)$$

This will show that the hypothesis of theorem 1 are fulfilled, so a), b) and c) are true.

Let  $z \in V_j, w \in V_h$ . There are two possibilities:

i)  $g_j$  and  $g_h$  are identical. Then,

$$|f(z) - f(w)| = |z - w| |b|^{-k} \leq \frac{|U|}{\rho/|b|} |z - w|.$$

ii)  $g_j$  and  $g_h$  are not identical. Then, using (2), one gets  $|z - w| \geq \text{dist}\{V_j, V_h\} > \rho$

$$\text{and } |f(z) - f(w)| \leq |U| = \frac{\rho}{\rho} |U| < \frac{|U|}{\rho} |z - w|.$$

Thus, in any case (3) is true with  $a = \rho/|b|$ .

Let us prove d).  $s < N$  is a consequence of c) and the definition of tessellation. On the other hand,  $F$  is a compact set with non void interior and  $E$  is compact. Any compact set with Hausdorff dimension less than 1 is totally disconnected. If  $s < 1$  then the complement  $E'$  of  $E$  in  $R^N$ ,  $N > 1$ , is a connected set. A polygonal path in  $E'$  from one point in  $\text{int}(F)$  to a point in  $\text{ext}(F)$  contains necessarily a point in  $F$  with two representations. That is, a point in  $E$ , a contradiction, QED.

### 3. Proof of the auxiliary theorem.

To prove Th. 1 we shall deduce that

$$\forall d > 0 \quad H^d(E) < a^d \Rightarrow \overline{\dim}_B(E) < d. \quad (4)$$

Then i) of Theorem 1 is true if  $s = 0$  because of  $H^0(E) \geq 1$  and if  $s > 0$ , it is a consequence of (4) since if  $d = s$  one obtains the contradiction  $\overline{\dim}_B(E) < s$ . Besides, for  $p > 0$  and  $d = s + p$  we have  $0 = H^d(E) < a^d$  and from (4) we obtain  $\overline{\dim}_B(E) < d$  and ii) follows, qed.

$H^d(E) < a^d$  implies the existence of a finite family of open sets  $\{U_i : i = 1, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$\forall i |U_i| < \inf\{a/2, r_0\} \text{ and } E \subset \bigcup_1^m U_i, \sum_1^m |U_i|^d < a^d.$$

Then, there exists  $t$ ,  $0 < t < d$ , verifying  $\sum |U_i|^t < a^t$ . Let  $q := \sum (|U_i|/a)^t < 1$ .

We obtain from the hypothesis that  $\exists V_i := V(U_i) \exists f_i : V_i \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} U_i$  in such a way that

$$\forall v, w \in V_i \quad |f_i(v) - f_i(w)| \leq |U_i| |v - w|/a.$$

Let  $I_k := \{1, \dots, m\}^k$ ,  $I = \cup I_k$  and define  $U_{i_1 \dots i_k} = f_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_k}(V_{i_k}) \subset U_{i_j}$ . Then, with some abuse of notation we get,

$$E \subset \cup f_i(V_i) = \cup f_i(E) \subset \cup \{f_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_k}(E) : i_1, \dots, i_k \in I_k\} = \cup U_{i_1 \dots i_k}.$$

Let  $x = f_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_k}(u)$ ,  $y = f_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_k}(v)$ ,  $x, y \in U_{i_1 \dots i_k}$ . Thus,  $u, v \in V_{i_k}$  and it holds that

$$|x - y| \leq \frac{|U_{i_1}|}{a} |f_{i_2} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_k}(u) - f_{i_2} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_k}(v)| \leq \frac{\prod |U_{i_j}|}{a^k} |u - v|.$$

In consequence,

$$|U_{i_1 \dots i_k}| \leq \frac{\prod |U_{i_j}|}{a^k} |E|.$$

Let  $\beta := \inf |U_j|/a$ ,  $0 < r < \inf \{|E|, 1\}$ . Given  $x \in E \exists k \exists U_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  such that

$$x \in U_{i_1 \dots i_k}, r\beta \leq \left(\prod |U_{i_j}|\right) |E| / a^k < r.$$

In fact,  $r\beta < r/2 < r < |E|$ ; beginning with  $U_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in I_\nu$ , we arrive to a first  $k$

$$\text{such that } \frac{|U_{i_k}|}{a} \frac{\prod_{n=1}^{k-1} |U_{i_n}|}{a^{k-1}} |E| < r, \quad r \leq \frac{\prod_{n=1}^{k-1} |U_{i_n}|}{a^{k-1}} |E|.$$

From the definition of  $\beta$  we get now

$$r\beta \leq \frac{\prod_{n=1}^k |U_{i_n}|}{a^k} |E| < r.$$

Let  $N(r)$  ( $< \infty$ ) be the minimum number of sets of (positive) diameter less than  $r$  that cover  $E$ . It holds that

$$N(r) \leq \text{card} \left\{ \cup_k \left\{ \gamma \in I_k : r\beta \leq a^{-k} |U_{\gamma_1}| \dots |U_{\gamma_k}| |E| \right\} \right\} \leq$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \subset I} (|E|/r\beta)^t \prod \left( |U_{\gamma_j}|/a \right)^t \leq$$

$$\sum \left\{ \sum_k (|E|/r\beta)^t \prod_1^k \left( |U_{\gamma_j}|/a \right)^t : k = 1, 2, \dots \right\} \leq$$

$$\left( \frac{|E|}{r\beta} \right)^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_1^m \left( \frac{|U_{n_1}|}{a} \right)^t \right)^k \leq \frac{1}{r^t} \left( \frac{|E|}{\beta} \right)^t \sum q^k =$$

$= Mr^t$ . Since  $M$  is independent of  $r$  we have,

for  $r \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\overline{\lim} \frac{\log N(r)}{\log 1/r} \leq t$ . In consequence,

$$\overline{\dim}_B(E) < d, \text{ QED.}$$

**4. Remarks.** a) The general context in which these results fit can be seen in [1]

b) In [5] Th. 4 we make more precise the statement a) of Th. 2. There we prove,

$$\text{among other results, that } \overline{\dim}_B E = \frac{\log \lambda}{\log |b|}$$

where  $\lambda$  ( $\geq |b|$  because  $s \geq 1$ ) is the spectral radius of a nonnegative matrix  $Q$  and an eigenvalue of maximum modulus of it.  $Q$  is in a natural way associated with the system  $(b, D)$ . With relation to this result the reader may consult [2] and [4].

### References

- [1] Benedek A., Sobre la representación posicional de números, *I.T.I. # 18*, Instituto de Matemática, UNS-CONICET, (2000) 29-38.
- [2] Duvall P., Keesling J. and Vince A., The Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of a self-similar tile, *preprint*.
- [3] Falconer K., *Techniques in fractal geometry*, J. Wiley and Sons, (1997).
- [4] Veerman J.J.P., Hausdorff dimension of boundaries of self-affine tiles in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ , *Boletín de la Soc. Mat. Mexicana* (3)Vol. 4 (1998) 159-182.
- [5] Benedek A. and Panzone R., On tilings associated with number systems and the geometry of sets derived from the bases  $-n+i$ , *to appear*.

*Manuscrito recibido y aceptado en febrero de 2001.*